

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ar

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 10/774,266 | 02/06/2004 | Jennifer A. Battey | HE0219 | HE0219 8671 | | |
| 21495 75 | 90 03/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| CORNING CA P O BOX 489 | ABLE SYSTEMS LLC | PRASAD, CHANDRIKA | | | | |
| HICKORY, NC 28603 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 2839 | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 02/16/2004 | DATE MAIL ED: 03/16/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | - N- | AmelianaA/aX | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application | | Applicant(s) BATTEY ET AL. | | | | |
| | | 10/774,26 | 6 | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| ····· | TI MANUAL DATE AND | Chandrika | | 2839 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address - | - | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statu od will apply and will tute, cause the appl | nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 | February 200 | <u>)4</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | , | | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or | rawn from cor | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | he drawing(s) b | e held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| .11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a life. | ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rule | n received. n received in Application nts have been received e 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | . , - | New _ New _ New _ Ne | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date | 08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/774,266 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I:

Figs. 1-3:

Ports in the base of the enclosure.

Group II.

Figs. 4-6b:

Ports in an end wall of the enclosure.

Group III.

Figs. 7-8:

Ports in a top wall of the cover.

Group IV.

Figs. 4-6b: Ports in an end wall of the cover.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either Application/Control Number: 10/774,266

Art Unit: 2839

instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

Page 3

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other

invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention,

the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

4. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach

the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at

(571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner

March 14, 2005